

3SAT:

INPUT: φ in 3CNF

DECIDE: if φ is satisfiable

→ NP

→ Cook-Levin: NP-complete

$\forall A \in NP: A \leq_P 3SAT$

2SAT:

INPUT: φ in 2CNF

DECIDE: -||-

→ in P

$\bigwedge_{i \in N} (x_{i,1} \rightarrow x_{i,2})$

Claim: φ is sat $(\Leftrightarrow) G_\varphi$ has no vertex x st there's a path from x to $1x$ and vice versa

XOR-SAT

INPUT: φ , a conjunction of XOR clauses

DECIDE: -||-

$$x + (1+y) + z = 1.$$

$$(x \oplus y \oplus z)$$

Deciding φ is the same as solving sim. equations over \mathbb{F}_2 → can be done in P

with Gaussian elimination

(contains =)

Def:

Let λ be a finite relational language, and fix a finite λ -structure Γ .

CSP(Γ):

Input: a finite λ -structure A

Decide: $A \rightarrow \Gamma$, where $A \rightarrow \Gamma := \exists f: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ s.t.

for all $R \in \lambda$ and all $\vec{a} \in R^A \Rightarrow f(\vec{a}) \in R^\Gamma$.

Eg: 3SAT = CSP($\{0,1\}$, R , \neq) \in NP-complete
where $R = \{0,1\}^3 \setminus \{0,0,0\}$

• 2SAT = CSP($\{0,1\}$, \leq, \neq) \in P

solved by local propagation
of information \wedge

• XORSAT = CSP($\{0,1\}$, $\{(0,0,0), (1,1,0), (0,1,1), (1,0,1)\}, \neq$)

\in P solved by Gaussian elimination

Schaefer's Theorem (1978)

Let Γ be an k -structure over $\{0,1\}$. If CSP(Γ) is not NP-complete, then of the following occurs

1. all relations contain the 0-vector
2. -||- \perp -vector eg $R = \{0,1\}^n \setminus \{(1,1,0,\dots,1)\}$
3. -||- are intersections of Horn clauses
4. -||- dual Horn clauses
5. -||- can be written as conjunctions of binary clauses
6. all relations are solutions to simultaneous linear equations over \mathbb{F}_2 .

and CSP(Γ) is in P.

Hell-Nešetřil (1990):

For an undirected graph G , CSP(G) is in P if G is bipartite, and o/w CSP(G) is NP-complete.

$$\begin{array}{l} G \Rightarrow K_2 \\ K_2 \Rightarrow G \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right\} \text{CSP}(G) = \text{CSP}(K_2)$$

Conjecture (Feder-Vardi, 1998)

For finite Γ , $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is either in P , or it is NP -complete.

Ladner's Theorem (1975)

If $P \neq NP$, then there are problems in $NP \setminus P$ which are not NP -complete.

Th: For every λ -structure Γ , there is some digraph G s.t. $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is poly-time equiv. to $\text{CSP}(G)$.

Def: (Generalised group problem)

Fix G a finite group. Define a relational structure \mathcal{G} on G by adding a relation gh for every $H \in G^n$ and $g \in G$.

Th: $\text{CSP}(\mathcal{G})$ is in P .

Tractable cases:

1. Banded width; solved local propagation of information
2. Subgroup problems; solved by Gaussian elimination type algorithms

Observation: The complexity of $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ depends on the structure of the operations $\Gamma^k \rightarrow \Gamma$ that preserve the relations of Γ .

Algebraic approach

Def: Let Γ be a set. We say that a k -ary operation $f: \Gamma^k \rightarrow \Gamma$ preserves an n -ary relation $R \subseteq \Gamma^n$ if R is a subalgebra of $(\Gamma, f)^n$, i.e.

if: $\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ \vdots \\ x_{1n} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{kn} \end{pmatrix} \right) \in R$, then:

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ \vdots \\ x_{1n} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{kn} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{k1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{1n}, \dots, x_{kn}) \end{pmatrix} \in R.$$

An operation f is a polymorphism of an k -structure Γ if f preserves all relations of Γ .

Eg: The operation $(x, y, z) \mapsto xy^{-1}z$ is a polymorphism of G .

Observation: The algebra $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$ of polymorphisms of Γ is a clone; i.e. it contains the projections $\pi_i^k: \Gamma^k \rightarrow \Gamma$ and it's closed under composition, i.e. f is k -ary and g_1, \dots, g_k are k -ary then:

$$f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_k): (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto f(g_1(\bar{x}), \dots, g_k(\bar{x})) \in \text{Pol}(\Gamma).$$

Def: For an algebra A , write $\text{Inv}(A)$ for the set of relations $R \subseteq A^n$ which are preserved by the operations in A .

Th: (Geiger, Bodnarčuk) (Γ is w -categorical)
 $\text{Inv}(\text{Pol}(\Gamma)) = \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\text{pp}} \quad \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\text{fo}} = \text{Inv}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$
where $\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\text{pp}}$ is the expansion of Γ by all pp-definable subsets.

Th (Jeavons):

Let Γ, Γ' be two structures on the same domain.
If $\text{Pol}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{Pol}(\Gamma')$ then Γ' is a pp-reduct
of Γ (ie $\Gamma' \leq \langle \Gamma \rangle_{pp}$) and
 $\text{CSP}(\Gamma') \leq_p \text{CSP}(\Gamma)$.

\therefore The clone of polymorphisms of Γ determine
the complexity of $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$.

In fact: The equational identities of $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$
determine the complexity of Γ

• Bulatar-Dalman (2006): A Gaussian elimination type
algorithm solves ^{in poly time} any CSP with a Maltsev
polymorphism, ie an operation satisfying
 $p(x, y, y) = p(y, y, x) = x$.

2017
• Theorem (Bulatar-Zhuk):

- If $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$ has a cyclic term, ie a function
of arity p (where p is prime) s.t.
 $f(x_1, \dots, x_p) = f(x_2, \dots, x_p, x_1)$
then $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is in P
- o/w $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ is NP-complete.