

## SOME REMINDERS for TODAY

$\forall^-$ -sentence  $\equiv \forall \bar{x} (\Phi(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \perp)$   
 $\uparrow$  qf

pe-formula (or  $\exists^+$ -formula)  $\equiv \exists \bar{x} \Phi(\bar{x}, y)$   
 $\uparrow$  qf with no  $\neg$

## BASIC FACTS ABOUT $T_{\forall^-}$

- For  $\Phi$   $\exists^+$ -sentence,  $T \cup \{\Phi\}$  is sat. iff  $T_{\forall^-} \cup \{\Phi\}$  is sat.
- $T_{\forall^-} = S_{\forall^-}$  iff every model of  $T$  maps homomorphically to a model of  $S$  & vice versa

## CONTINUATION TO ep-closed models

For  $\kappa \geq \max(|\tau|, \aleph_0)$ ,  $A \models T$ ,  $|A| \leq \kappa$ , there is a  $T$ -ep-closed  $B$  s.t.  $|B| \leq \kappa$  and  $A$  maps homomorphically to  $B$ .

$B$  is  $T$ -ep-closed iff for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  every ep- $n$ -type in  $A$  is a maximal ep-type of  $T$ .

## SOME REMINDERS (cont.)

EQUIVALENTS TO BEING A MC-CORE Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be stable  $w$ -categorical. Then, tfae:

①  $\mathcal{B}$  is a model complete core

All endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}$  are embeddings

② Every f.o.-formula is equivalent to an  $\exists^+$ -formula

③  $\overline{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})} = \text{End}(\mathcal{B})$

For any  $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $t \in \mathcal{B}^n$  there is  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$  s.t.  $\alpha t = e(t)$ .

④  $\mathcal{B}$  has a model complete core theory.

All homomorphisms between models of  $T$  are elementary embeddings

## § 4.6 EXISTENTIALLY - POSITIVE RYLL-NARDZEWSKI

Let  $T$  be a satisfiable theory

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  be e.p. formulas with  $n$  free variables.

$\phi_1 \sim_n^T \phi_2$  iff for all ep-formulas  $\psi$  in free  $x_1, \dots, x_n$   
 $\{\phi_1, \psi\} \cup T$  is SAT iff  $\{\phi_2, \psi\} \cup T$  is SAT.

The INDEX of an equivalence relation is the  $\aleph$  of its classes

BASIC FACTS ABOUT  $\sim_n^T$

Ⓐ  $\cup_{V^-} = T_{V^-} \Rightarrow (\phi_1 \sim_n^U \phi_2 \text{ iff } \phi_1 \sim_n^T \phi_2)$

Ⓑ Every maximal ep- $n$ -type  $p$  is determined entirely by the  $\sim_n^T$ -classes of ep- $n$ -formulas in  $p$ .

Proof:

Ⓐ  $\{\phi_1, \psi\} \cup T$  is sat iff  $\{\phi_1, \psi\} \cup T_{V^-}$  is sat iff  $\dots$  iff  $\{\phi_1, \psi\} \cup U$  is sat.

Ⓑ  $p, q$  max.  $\forall \phi_1 \in p \exists \phi_1' \in q$  s.t.  $\phi_1 \sim_n^T \phi_1'$  and vice versa.

$S \subseteq q$  finite  $\phi_1 \cup S \cup T$  is sat iff  $\phi_1' \cup S \cup T$  is sat

By compactness  $\phi_1 \cup q \cup T$  is sat  $\Rightarrow \phi_1 \in q$ . so  $p = q$   $\square$

# EP-Ryll NARDZEWSKI (CONSTRUCTION of the CORE)

Let  $T$  be satisfiable, in a countable rel signature  $\sigma$  with JHP. fac

①  $T$  has a  $\omega$ -cat mc-core companion

$\Leftrightarrow \exists B$  s.t. for all  $\exists^+ \phi$   
 $T \cup \{\phi\}$  is sat iff  $B \models \phi(\bar{a})$  for some  $\bar{a}$ .

②  $\sim_n^T$  has finite index for each  $n$ .

③  $T$  has fin many maximal ep-types in each  $n$ .

④ There is a (finite or countable)  $\omega$ -categorical model complete core  $B$  s.t. for  $\exists^+ \phi$ ,  $T \cup \{\phi\}$  is sat iff  $B \models \phi$ .

Proof:

①  $\Rightarrow$  ②  $U$  be the mc-core comp of  $T$ .  $U_{\forall^-} = T_{\forall^-}$ .

So  $\phi_1 \sim_n^T \phi_2$  iff  $\phi_1 \sim_n^U \phi_2$  by ①.

$\phi_1 \equiv_n^T \phi_2 \Rightarrow \phi_1 \sim_n^T \phi_2$ . If  $\sim_n^T$  has infinite index, then there would be inf many  $\equiv_n^U$ -inequivalent formulas in  $U$  ~~vs~~  $\omega$ -categoricity of  $U$ .  $\square$

②  $\Rightarrow$  ③: By ①  $\forall$

④  $\Rightarrow$  ①:  $B$  is a mc core iff  $B$  has a mc core theory

NIS:  $\text{Th}(B)_{\forall^-} = T_{\forall^-}$

$T \models \forall x (\phi(x) \rightarrow \perp)$  iff  $T \cup \{\phi\}$  is uns. iff  $B \not\models \phi(\bar{a})$  for any  $\bar{a}$  iff  $\text{Th}(B) \neq \forall_n(\phi(x) \rightarrow \perp)$

③  $\Rightarrow$  ④: By JHP there is  $\mathcal{C}$  (ctble or finite) s.t. for  $\exists^+ \phi$

$\top \cup \{\phi\}$  is sat iff  $\mathcal{C} \models \phi(\bar{a})$  for some  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{C})_{\forall^-} = \text{Th}^*$

-  $\mathcal{C}$  is finite  $\rightarrow$  take the core of  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  has all of the required properties

-  $\mathcal{C}$  is ctble. take a map of  $\mathcal{C}$  into pe-closed model  $\mathcal{B}$  also ctble.

$$\text{Th}(\mathcal{B})_{\forall^-} = \text{Th}^*$$

CLAIM:  $\text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}) = \text{ep}(\bar{a}')$ . Then, there is  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$  s.t.

$$f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}'.$$

proof:  $b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \bar{a}$ .  $p := \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}, b) \wedge p$  is maximal (being in ep model)

For each  $q$  ep-max  $n+1$ -type  $\phi_q(\bar{x}, y) \exists^+$  in  $p$  and not in  $q$ .

Since there are fin many such type take conjunction  $\equiv \exists^+$ -form  $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$

$\phi(\bar{x}, y) \in p$  by maximality.

$\exists y \phi(\bar{x}, y) \in \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a})$  so  $\in \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}')$ .

there is  $b'$  s.t.  $\mathcal{B} \models \phi(\bar{a}', b')$ . Let  $f(b) = b'$ .

By construction  $\text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}, b) = \text{ep-tp}(\bar{a}', b')$ .

Foran claim:  $ep tp(\bar{a}) = ep tp(\bar{a}') \Rightarrow \bar{a} \equiv \bar{a}'$ .

Finally many max ep  $n$ -types  $\rightarrow$  these are dist. by formulas

Since ep types determine types, types are isolated by ep-form.

- $\mathbb{B}$  is w-cat
- $\mathbb{B}$  is a mc core

$\uparrow$  every formula is eq to an ep-one. ▣

Note:  $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$  has mc core  $\overset{\text{w-cat}}{V} (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ .

inf many 2-types  
but fin many  
ep  $n$ -types for each  $n$ .

**Lemma** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be countable  $\omega$ -categorical with  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B})_{\forall} = \text{Th}(\mathcal{C})_{\forall}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are homomorphically eq.

Proof:  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{hom}} \mathcal{B}$ .

**Lemma 4.17**  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{hom}} \mathcal{B}$  iff all fin. substructures of  $\mathcal{C}$  map hom to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

$\bar{c} \in \mathcal{C}$   $P = \text{cpt}(\bar{c})$

$\mathcal{B} \models P(\bar{b})$  for some tuple by  $\omega$ -sat.  $\bar{c}$  map hom to  $\mathcal{B}$   $\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{b}$ . □

**THEOREM 4.7.4** Every countable  $\omega$ -categorical structure  $\mathcal{B}$  is homomorphically equivalent to an  $\omega$ -categorical model complete core  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof:  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B})$  meets the req of ep-Ryll-Nordz. So there is mc core component.   
 Satisfies  $\omega$ -cat. □

Note: the model-complete core  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  embeds into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{C}$   $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{B}$ .  $h$  is an embedding  $\Rightarrow i$  is an embedding.

**TRANSFER OF PROPERTIES** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a stable  $w$ -categorical and  $\mathcal{C}$  be its mc-core.

①  $\mathcal{B}$  is homogeneous  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is homogeneous

② Let  $i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a homomorphism.

$t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{C}^n$  are s.t.  $t_1 \equiv t_2$ . Then  $\exists e_1, e_2 \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})$  s.t.  
 $e_1(i(t_1)) = i(t_2)$  and  $e_2(i(t_2)) = i(t_1)$

③  $i(t_1) \equiv i(t_2) \Rightarrow t_1 \equiv t_2$

④ For every  $n$ ,

\* orbits of  $n$ -tuples under  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \leq$  \* orbits of  $n$ -tuples under  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ .

⑤ If we have equality,  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{C}$ .

Proof: ③  $\Rightarrow$  ④

$$qf\#(t_1) = qf\#(t_2)$$

③  $\Rightarrow$  ①

negations of f.o. formulas are  $\equiv \exists^+$ -fms in  $\mathcal{C}$   
 $\text{Th}(\mathcal{C})^+ = \text{Th}(\mathcal{B})^+$

$$qf\#(i(t_1)) = qf\#(i(t_2))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{B} \text{ hom}} i(t_1) \equiv i(t_2) \xrightarrow{\text{③}} t_1 \equiv t_2$$



②  $i: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  a homomorphism.  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$   $t_1 \equiv t_2$ .

Then,  $\exists e_1, e_2 \in \text{End}(\mathbb{B})$  s.t.

$$e_1(i(t_1)) = i(t_2) \quad \text{and} \quad e_2(i(t_2)) = t_1$$

③  $i(t_1) \equiv i(t_2) \Rightarrow t_1 \equiv t_2$ .

$\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be pp-defs of the orbits of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  ( $\mathbb{C}$  is a conn)

$$i(t_1) \equiv i(t_2) \implies i(t_2) \models \Phi_1 \implies \Phi_1 \equiv \Phi_2 \implies t_1 \equiv t_2$$

pp-form are preserved by homom.

if they are diff orbits

$$\mathbb{C} \models \forall \bar{a} (\Phi_1(\bar{a}) \wedge \Phi_2(\bar{a})) \rightarrow \perp$$

But  $\mathbb{B}$  would also satisfy this formula.

⑤ If  $\forall n$  # orbits of  $n$ -tuples under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) = \#$  orbits of  $n$ -tuples under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$  then  $\mathbb{B} \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

proof: We prove that  $\mathbb{B}$  is a mc core and so  $\cong \mathbb{C}$  by showing  $\overline{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B})} = \text{End}(\mathbb{B})$ .

For each  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  let  $s_0$  be a representative.

$$I: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{orbits of } n\text{-tuples} \\ \text{in } \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{orbits of } n\text{-tuples} \\ \text{in } \mathbb{B} \end{array} \right\} \quad \mathcal{O} \longmapsto \text{orbit of } i(s_0)$$

By ③  $I$  is an injection, it is also a bijection by finiteness + some # of orbits.

Let  $t \in \mathbb{B}^n$   $e \in \text{End}(\mathbb{B})$ . Choose

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ from preimage of the orbit of } t \\ s' \in \mathbb{C} \text{ from preimage of the orbit of } e(t) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \exists \alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) \text{ s.t.} \\ \alpha i(s) = t \quad \beta i(s') = e(t). \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a mc core

$$\underbrace{h \circ e \circ \alpha \circ i}_{\mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \in \overline{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})} \text{ so } s \text{ and } h \circ e \circ \alpha \circ i(s) \text{ are in some } \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})\text{-orbit.}$$

$$h \circ e \circ \alpha \circ i(s) = h \circ e(t) = \underbrace{h \circ \beta \circ i}_{e \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C})}(s') \text{ so } s \text{ and } s' \text{ are in some } \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})\text{-orbit.}$$

But then, by choice of  $s$  and  $s'$  and since  $I$  is a map (between orbits)

$e(t)$  is in the same orbit as  $t$ . So  $\overline{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B})} = \text{End}(\mathbb{B})$   $\square$